

# Biblioteca digital de la Universidad Catolica Argentina

Galmarini, M. V.; Symoneaux, R.; Visalli, M.; Zamora, M. C.; Schlich, P.

Static vs. Dynamic liking in chewing gum: a new approach using a background task and a natural setting

# Posprint del artículo a publicarse en Food Quality and Preference, 2014

Este documento está disponible en la Biblioteca Digital de la Universidad Católica Argentina, repositorio institucional desarrollado por la Biblioteca Central "San Benito Abad". Su objetivo es difundir y preservar la producción intelectual de la Institución

La Biblioteca posee la autorización del autor para su divulgación en línea.

## Cómo citar el documento:

Galmarini, M. V., Symoneaux, R., Visalli, M., Zamora, M. C. y P. Schlich. 2014. Static vs. Dynamic liking in chewing gum: a new approach using a background task and a natural setting [en línea]. Posprint del artículo a publicarse en Food Quality and Preference. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.01.002. Disponible en: http://bibliotecadigital.uca.edu.ar/repositorio/investigacion/static-dynamic-liking-gum.pdf [Fecha de consulta: ....]

Food Quality and Preference xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

5 6

12

48 49

50

51

52

53

55

56

57

58

59 60

61

54 04

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Food Quality and Preference

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodqual



30

31

32

34

35

36

37

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

47

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

Static vs. dynamic liking in chewing gum: A new approach using a background task and a natural setting

M.V. Galmarini, a,b,\*, R. Symoneaux, M. Visalli, M.C. Zamora, P. Schlich,

- <sup>a</sup> Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- <sup>b</sup> CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 10 <sup>c</sup> LUNAM Université, Groupe ESA, UPSP GRAPPE, France
- <sup>d</sup> INRA, UMR 1324 Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

- Received 4 September 2013
- Received in revised form 10 November 2013
- Accepted 6 January 2014
- Available online xxxx

#### Keywords:

- 21 Consumers
- 22 In-home test
- 23 Internet
- Preference
  - Dvnamic

#### ABSTRACT

Chewing gum is a particular product, consumed during long periods of time and usually while doing Q3 28 something else. Therefore, traditional hedonic tests might not provide sufficient information. The aim of the present work was to compare the liking scores resulting from asking consumers whether they liked the product only once (static liking, SL) to those obtained when asking repeatedly during consumption (dynamic liking, DL). For this purpose, three different mint chewing gums were evaluated by two groups of 50 consumers. In both cases, consumers evaluated the samples at home using an Internet application specifically designed for the experiment. In the SL, consumers were prompted to rate their liking only after 5 min of chewing. During this time, consumers were presented with a series of curious facts ("Did you know...?") which they would read from the screen as a background task, For the DL, consumers were asked to rate the samples every 45 s during a period of 10 min while performing the same background task, having a maximum of 10 s to answer.

Comparing the results obtained by both techniques at the same moment of consumption (5 min), ratings were found to be significantly higher with the SL for all samples. This could indicate that, when asked once, consumers gave their overall liking score and not their liking at precisely 5 min. Nonetheless, at that moment, the sample ranking was the same for both methods. Moreover, DL showed that when taking into account preference throughout consumption time, a significant product ranking inversion could be found, revealing that preference was time dependent and also that this change was different among products.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

#### 1. Introduction

Conventional sensory methods, such as quantitative descriptive analysis or other forms of profiling, implicitly regard the sensory properties under investigation as a static phenomenon (Dijksterhuis & Piggott, 2000). Nonetheless, it is well-known that the perception of flavor is not a single event but a dynamic process (Piggott, 1994) where every step must be considered to fully understand it. For this purpose, many sensory techniques have been developed attempting to describe the sensations generated by food taking into consideration its dynamic aspect. Time-Intensity (T-I) technique (Lee III & Pangborn, 1986; Neilson, 1957), Dual Attribute Time-Intensity (Duizer, Bloom, & Findlay, 1997), Progressive Profiling (Jack, Piggott, & Paterson, 1994), Temporal Dominance of Sensations (TDS) (Pineau et al., 2009) and Sequential Profile (Methven et al., 2010) showed the importance of the temporal dimension in sensory evaluation. Therefore, if perception changes as a function of time, it might

also be expected that hedonic responses would modify during consumption. The first work to investigate temporal liking was done by Lee and Pangborn (1986): they proved that liking changed along time, and that these changes could be measured using the T-I methodology. Later, Taylor and Pangborn (1990) measured the degree of liking of chocolate milk continuously along a consumption period of 80 s, finding that hedonic responses showed systematic changes during tasting, and that these changes were product dependent. At this point, it was suspected that changes in the hedonic response could be a mere reflection of the variation of the intensity of different attributes, Veldhuizen, Wuister, and Kroeze (2006) worked with orange juice lemonades and found that intensity and pleasantness responses did not occur simultaneously; the intensity response happened before the pleasantness response and also had a different duration time. Therefore, timehedonic curves were different from the time-intensity curves,

E-mail address: mgalmarini@gmail.com (M.V. Galmarini).

0950-3293/\$ - see front matter © 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.01.002

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Tel.: +54 11 4552 2711.