



High Energy Physics – Theory

Quantum dynamics of the effective field theory of the Calogero-Sutherland model

 Federico L. Bottesi^a, Guillermo R. Zemba^{b,c,*,1}
^a Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Av. Paseo Colón 850, (C1063ACL) Buenos Aires, Argentina

^b Departamento de Física Teórica, GlyA, Laboratorio Tandara, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Av. Libertador 8250, (C1429BNP) Buenos Aires, Argentina

^c Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias Agrarias, Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina, Av. Alicia Moreau de Justo 1500, (C1107AAZ) Buenos Aires, Argentina


ARTICLE INFO

Editor: Hubert Saleur

ABSTRACT

We consider the known effective field theory of the Calogero-Sutherland model in the thermodynamic limit of large number of particles, obtained from the standard procedure in conformal field theory: the Hilbert space is constructed *a priori* in terms of irreducible representations of the symmetry algebra, and not by diagonalization of the hamiltonian, which is given in terms of fields that carry representations of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra (representing the incompressibility of the Fermi sea). Nevertheless, the role of the effective hamiltonian of the theory is to establish a specific dynamics, which deserves further consideration. We show that the time evolution of the (chiral or antichiral) density field is given by the quantum Benjamin-Ono equation, in agreement with previous results obtained from the alternative description of the continuous limit of the model, based on quantum hydrodynamics. In this study, all calculations are performed at the quantum operator level, without making any assumption on the semiclassical limit of the fields and their equations of motion. This result may be considered as a reliable indication of the equivalence between the quantum field theoretic and quantum hydrodynamical formulations of the effective theories of the model. A one-dimensional quantum compressible fluid that includes both chiralities is the physical picture that emerges for the continuous limit of the Calogero-Sutherland model.

1. Introduction

The Calogero-Sutherland (CS) model [1,2] has a long and rich history in theoretical physics. It exhibits several interesting properties in its first quantized formulation, with a finite number of non-relativistic particles, as well as in its field theoretic formulation, which describes the thermodynamic limit of infinite number of particles. In this work, we shall consider the second alternative, after recalling that many of the interesting features of the first one are reviewed, *e.g.*, in [3]. The second quantized formulation of this model has been studied with at least two types of formulations: the quantum hydrodynamic approach of [4,25] and the extended conformal field theory (CFT) [5] procedure of [6–8] (for alternative formulations, see also [9,10]). Here we shall consider the second alternative with the scope of developing a better understanding of the physical picture that is implied by it, and to make contact with

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: grzemba@gmail.com (G.R. Zemba).¹ Fellow of Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2025.116825>

Received 11 November 2024; Received in revised form 2 January 2025; Accepted 1 February 2025

Available online 6 February 2025

0550-3213/© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. Funded by SCOAP³. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

the most relevant results and conclusions of the first option, which involves a semiclassical and attractive picture of the dynamics. Quantum hydrodynamics and field theory are two ways of studying quantum matter and their relationship deserves to be more deeply understood (see, e.g., [11]).

The extended CFT of the thermodynamic limit of the CS model naturally incorporates the idea of bosonization of the lowest energy fluctuations of the Fermi surface as the relevant semiclassical degrees of freedom [12] (see also [13]). The same theory describes general Luttinger systems as well [14]. The effective field theory (EFT) is constructed following the general prescription described in [15]: the low-lying deformations of the Fermi surface are parametrized in terms of the generators of the $W_{1+\infty}$ dynamical symmetry [16–18], which, therefore, describe the lowest energy (“gapless”) fluctuations of the many-body states of the system in an algebraic formulation. Furthermore, the EFT allows for the inclusion of non perturbative effects due to the interaction among fermions by a change in the representation of the symmetry algebra, a result implied by Luttinger’s theorem [20]. This is the main reason for writing the EFT in terms of the $W_{1+\infty}$ generators, which may be considered as favorable choice given the structure provided by this mathematical structure. In this work, we further investigate the physical consequences of this algebraic formulation of the effective theory, focusing on the time evolution of the quantum density field.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we review the EFT of the CS model and the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra. In section 3 we discuss the spectrum of the effective hamiltonian. In section 4 we study the equation of time evolution of the density field and discuss the relationship of our results to those obtained by the quantum hydrodynamical formulation of the CS effective theory. Finally, we provide some conclusions in section 5.

2. The EFT of the Calogero-Sutherland model

We start by briefly reviewing the CS model. Consider a system of N non-relativistic $(1+1)$ -dimensional spinless interacting fermions on a circle of length L , with hamiltonian [2] (in units where $\hbar = 1$ and $2m = 1$, with m being the mass of the particles)

$$h_{CS} = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 + g \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi(x_i - x_j)/L)}, \quad (1)$$

where x_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) is the coordinate of the i -th particle, and g is the dimensionless coupling constant. Ground state stability demands $g \geq -1/2$, with both attractive ($-1/2 \leq g < 0$) and repulsive ($0 < g$) regimes. A usual reparametrization of the coupling constant is given by $g = 2\xi(\xi - 1)$, so that $\xi \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \xi < 1$ is the attractive regime and $1 < \xi$ the repulsive one.

The $W_{1+\infty}$ EFT of (1) has been derived in [6,7] by formulating the system dynamics in terms of fields describing the fluctuations of the 1D Fermi surface in the thermodynamic limit. This method amounts to initially defining suitable non-relativistic fermionic fields, taking then the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ properly on them and the hamiltonian obtaining an EFT involving two sets of independent relativistic fermion fields that describe the low energy fluctuations around each of the two Fermi points of the 1D effective theory. The term relativistic here means a linear dispersion relation. The last step consists in writing down the EFT in terms of fields that obey the $W_{1+\infty}$ symmetry. This step is crucial in our approach, as it allows to diagonalize the hamiltonian and find the Hilbert space of the EFT by exploiting the algebraic properties. Moreover, the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra found in the original basis of fermion bilinears may be realized as well by bosonic operators, that take into account interactions in the fermionic picture, as anticipated. Here we outline the procedure: in the free case ($g = 0$), the single-particle wave functions are given by plane waves:

$$\phi_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \exp\left(i \frac{2\pi}{L} kx\right), \quad (2)$$

where k is an integer for periodic boundary conditions. The new variables are derived from a second quantized non-relativistic fermion field defined in terms of the above wave functions as:

$$\Psi(x, t) \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(x, t), \quad \{c_k, c_l^\dagger\} = \delta_{k,l}. \quad (3)$$

Here $\phi_k(x, t) = \phi_k(x) \exp(-i\epsilon_k t)$, $\epsilon_k = (2\pi/L)^2 k^2$ and c_k, c_l^\dagger are Fock space operators. The ground state of the system is

$$|\Omega, N\rangle = c_{-M}^\dagger c_{-M+1}^\dagger \dots c_{M-1}^\dagger c_M^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the Fock vacuum and $M \equiv (N-1)/2$. The ground state particle number density $n(x, t)$ is given by

$$n(x, t) \equiv \langle \Omega, N | \Psi^\dagger(x, t) \Psi(x, t) | \Omega, N \rangle = \sum_{k=-M}^M |\phi_k(x, t)|^2 = \frac{N}{L}. \quad (5)$$

Therefore the spatial density $n(x, t) = n_0 = N/L$ is uniform and stationary. Next we consider the thermodynamic limit of large N and L , with n_0 finite. The Fermi sea is a segment in momentum space between the two Fermi points, located at $\pm p_F$, with the Fermi momentum $p_F = \pi(N-1)/L$. We refer to these two Fermi points by their locations as R and L , for right and left, respectively (we hope that no confusion arises with the length variable). The ground state (4) becomes a relativistic Dirac sea for each one of them, in this limit. The new variables are defined as ‘shifted’ Fock operators around each Fermi point [12,20,21]: $a_r \equiv c_{M+r}$ for the R Fermi point and $\bar{a}_r \equiv c_{-M-r}$ for the L one, where $|r| \ll \sqrt{N}$ describes small fluctuations, i.e., the lowest energy excitations of the system. The fields become Weyl fermions [5], describing the relevant degrees of freedom of the system in the vicinity of the Fermi

points [15]. The corresponding hamiltonian may be written in terms of new bilinear fermionic fields that satisfy the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra. Once this form is found for free fermions, a one parameter deformation keeping the algebraic structure extends this expression to a bosonized form of the theory.

Making use of the bosonization expressions derived in [6,7], the effective hamiltonian becomes the following operator:

$$\mathcal{H}_{CS} = \left\{ \left(2\pi n_0 \sqrt{\xi} \right)^2 \left[\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{4} W_0^0 + \frac{1}{N} W_0^1 + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} W_0^2 - \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{12} W_0^0 \right) - \frac{g}{2\xi^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell W_{-\ell}^0 W_{\ell}^0 \right] + (W \leftrightarrow \bar{W}) \right\} , \quad (6)$$

where $\xi = (1 + \sqrt{1+2g})/2$ is the parameter defined after (1). Note that $\xi = 1$ corresponds to the free fermion case. Nevertheless, the relationship between ξ and g is not relevant when discussing the properties of the EFT, which leaves behind all the small scale details of the underlying dynamics. Therefore, ξ is assumed to be a real positive *free independent* parameter for the rest of the discussion. The operators W_{ℓ}^m in (6) are the lowest generators of the $W_{1+\infty}$ infinite dimensional algebra [16,17]. Here $m = i + 1$, where i is the conformal spin [5]. The terms in the $W_{\ell}^m (\bar{W}_{\ell}^i)$ operators describe the dynamics at the right (R) (left (L)) Fermi point, respectively. We remark that the *complete factorization* of (6) into chiral and antichiral sectors is the consequence of rotating the fields V_{ℓ}^m and \bar{V}_{ℓ}^i by a bosonic Bogoliubov transformation. These sectors are mixed by backward scattering terms in the first fermionic form of the hamiltonian obtained [7,8].

The general form of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra is:

$$[W_{\ell}^i, W_m^j] = (j\ell - im) W_{\ell+m}^{i+j-1} + q(i, j, \ell, m) W_{\ell+m}^{i+j-3} + \dots + \delta^{ij} \delta_{\ell+m,0} c d(i, \ell) , \quad (7)$$

where the structure constants $q(i, j, \ell, m)$ and $d(i, \ell)$ are polynomial in their arguments, c is the central charge, and the dots denote a *finite* number of terms involving the operators $W_{\ell+m}^{i+j-2k}$. The ground state $|\Omega\rangle$ is a highest weight state with respect to the $W_{1+\infty}$ operators, namely $W_{\ell}^i |\Omega\rangle = 0$, $\ell > 0, i \geq 0$, that is to say that it is incompressible in momentum space. We remark here that the basis W_{ℓ}^i of $W_{1+\infty}$ operators in the hamiltonian (6) is bosonic and not the original fermionic one denoted as V_{ℓ}^i , inherited from the CS model, as we shall explain right away. The major advantage of choosing the basis of the $W_{1+\infty} \times \bar{W}_{1+\infty}$ operators is that, once the algebraic content of the theory has been established in the free fermionic picture, the bosonic realization of the algebra can be used, and the free value of the compactification radius of the boson can be chosen so as to diagonalize the hamiltonian. This method is consequently termed as *algebraic bosonization* [8]. For the case of the CS EFT $c = 1$, $W_{1+\infty}$ is interpreted as the enveloping algebra of the fermion number $U(1)$ symmetry, and all the relevant commutation relations are:

$$\begin{aligned} [W_{\ell}^0, W_m^0] &= c \xi \ell \delta_{\ell+m,0} , \\ [W_{\ell}^1, W_m^0] &= -m W_{\ell+m}^0 , \\ [W_{\ell}^1, W_m^1] &= (\ell - m) W_{\ell+m}^1 + \frac{c}{12} \ell (\ell^2 - 1) \delta_{\ell+m,0} , \\ [W_{\ell}^2, W_m^0] &= -2m W_{\ell+m}^1 , \\ [W_{\ell}^2, W_m^1] &= (\ell - 2m) W_{\ell+m}^2 - \frac{1}{6} (m^3 - m) W_{\ell+m}^0 , \\ [W_n^2, W_m^2] &= (2n - 2m) W_{n+m}^3 + \frac{n-m}{15} (2n^2 + 2m^2 - nm - 8) W_{n+m}^1 \\ &\quad + c \frac{n(n^2 - 1)(n^2 - 4)}{180} \delta_{n+m,0} . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The first and third equations in (8) show that the generators W_{ℓ}^0 satisfy the abelian Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{U(1)}$, and the generators W_{ℓ}^1 satisfy the Virasoro algebra, respectively. The operators \bar{W}_{ℓ}^i fulfill the same algebra (8) with central charge $\bar{c} = 1$ and commute with the all the operators W_{ℓ}^i . The complete EFT of the CS model is a $(c, \bar{c}) = (1, 1)$ CFT, but since both chiral (R) and antichiral (L) sectors are isomorphic, we will often consider one of them at a time, for the sake of simplicity.

The $c = 1$ $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra can be realized by either *fermionic* or *bosonic* operators. In our methodology for constructing EFTs, the first realization is useful for identifying the correct hamiltonian terms if one starts from fermionic systems, like the CS model, as it was mentioned above. Its explicit form in terms of fermion bilinears is give by:

$$\begin{aligned} V_n^0 &= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} : a_{r-n}^{\dagger} a_r : , \\ V_n^1 &= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(r - \frac{n+1}{2} \right) : a_{r-n}^{\dagger} a_r : , \\ V_n^2 &= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(r^2 - (n+1)r + \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{6} \right) : a_{r-n}^{\dagger} a_r : , \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with $\{a_k, a_l^\dagger\} = \delta_{k,l}$ and all other anticommutators vanishing. These are explicit expressions for the R Fermi point with analogous ones for the L one. The $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra satisfied by these operators is isomorphic to (8) with $\xi = 1$.

The second realization may be derived through a generalized Sugawara construction [17] in terms of a chiral bosonic field. In fact, if one introduces the right and left moving modes, α_ℓ and $\bar{\alpha}_\ell$, of a free compactified boson ($[\alpha_n, \alpha_m] = \xi n \delta_{n+m,0}$ and similarly for the $\bar{\alpha}_\ell$ operators), one can check that the commutation relations (8) are satisfied by defining W_ℓ^i (we only write the expressions for $i = 0, 1, 2$) as

$$\begin{aligned} W_\ell^0 &= \alpha_\ell \quad , \\ W_\ell^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} : \alpha_r \alpha_{\ell-r} : \quad , \\ W_\ell^2 &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{r,s=-\infty}^{\infty} : \alpha_r \alpha_s \alpha_{\ell-r-s} : \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and analogously for the operators \bar{W}_ℓ^i in terms of $\bar{\alpha}_\ell$. The naive generalization of (10) to higher values of conformal spin is incorrect (for example, see [8]). Finally, the relationship between these two realizations is given by the correspondence $W_\ell^0 \leftrightarrow \sqrt{\xi} V_\ell^0$ and $W_\ell^i \leftrightarrow V_\ell^i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). It follows that the constant density is renormalized by a factor $1/\xi$ with respect to the free fermion case.

A remark about the hamiltonian (6) is that it is given as a power series expansion in the small parameter $1/N$, but a *finite* one: the expansion stops at $1/N^2$. This result agrees with [4] and reflects the fact that the dispersion relation at the Fermi points is not linear, but still polynomial (quadratic in this case): on the contrary, the same method applied to the Heisenberg model yields an infinite power series [8]. For the CS EFT, it is a consequence of the dimensionality of the interaction (1) that decays as $1/L^2$. Note that the terms of $O(1)$ in (6) correspond to global operators (zero modes), the terms of $O(1/N)$ are the finite-size universal corrections given by CFT and the terms of $O(1/N^2)$ are beyond the usual scope of CFT.

3. Spectrum of the effective hamiltonian

A key remark regarding the derivation of (6) in [6,7], is that the hamiltonian may be written as a sum of decoupled chiral sectors only after a Bogoliubov transformation has been performed on the fermionic $W_{1+\infty}$ operators. Indeed, the effective hamiltonian is naturally written in terms of the fermionic basis of the $W_{1+\infty}$ operators (9), and has backward scattering terms (that mix both chiralities). The Bogoliubov transformation decouples both Fermi points and defines a new $W_{1+\infty}$ basis that, moreover, is rewritten in the bosonic form (10), is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} W_\ell^0 &= V_\ell^0 \cosh \beta + \bar{V}_{-\ell}^0 \sinh \beta \quad , \\ \bar{W}_\ell^0 &= V_{-\ell}^0 \sinh \beta + \bar{V}_\ell^0 \cosh \beta \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for all ℓ , with

$$\tanh 2\beta = \frac{\xi - 1}{\xi + 1} \quad . \tag{12}$$

Equivalently, $\exp(2\beta) = \xi$ (see [8,19] for further details).

We now discuss the spectrum of (6). In the fermionic description V_ℓ^i it is easy to see that the highest weight states of the $W_{1+\infty} \times \bar{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra are attained by the addition of ΔN particles to the ground state $|\Omega\rangle$, and by moving ΔD particles from the left to the right Fermi point; they are denoted by $|\Delta N, \Delta D\rangle_0$. The descendant states,

$$|\Delta N, \Delta D; \{k_i\}, \{\bar{k}_j\}\rangle_0 = V_{-k_1}^0 \dots V_{-k_r}^0 \bar{V}_{-\bar{k}_1}^0 \dots \bar{V}_{-\bar{k}_s}^0 |\Delta N, \Delta D\rangle_0 \quad ,$$

with $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r > 0$, and $\bar{k}_1 \geq \bar{k}_2 \geq \dots \geq \bar{k}_s > 0$, coincide with the particle-hole excitations arising from $|\Delta N, \Delta D\rangle_0$. Using the expressions of V_0^0 and \bar{V}_0^0 given in (9), one finds that the charges associated to these states are

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^0 |\Delta N, \Delta D; \{k_i\}, \{\bar{k}_j\}\rangle_0 &= \left(\frac{\Delta N}{2} + \Delta D \right) |\Delta N, \Delta D; \{k_i\}, \{\bar{k}_j\}\rangle_0 \quad , \\ \bar{V}_0^0 |\Delta N, \Delta D; \{k_i\}, \{\bar{k}_j\}\rangle_0 &= \left(\frac{\Delta N}{2} - \Delta D \right) |\Delta N, \Delta D; \{k_i\}, \{\bar{k}_j\}\rangle_0 \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In terms of the bosonized operators basis W_ℓ^i the highest weight vectors, $|\Delta N; \Delta D\rangle_W$, are still characterized by the numbers ΔN and ΔD with the same meaning as before, but their charges are different. More precisely

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^0 |\Delta N; \Delta D\rangle_W &= \left(\sqrt{\xi} \frac{\Delta N}{2} + \frac{\Delta D}{\sqrt{\xi}} \right) |\Delta N; \Delta D\rangle_W \\ \bar{W}_0^0 |\Delta N; \Delta D\rangle_W &= \left(\sqrt{\xi} \frac{\Delta N}{2} - \frac{\Delta D}{\sqrt{\xi}} \right) |\Delta N; \Delta D\rangle_W \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The highest weight states $|\Delta N, \Delta D\rangle_W$ together with their descendants, denoted by $|\Delta N, \Delta D; \{k_j\}, \{\bar{k}_j\}\rangle_W$, form a *new* bosonic basis for the theory that has no simple expression in terms of the original free fermionic degrees of freedom.

The exact energies of these excitations in this basis are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = & \left\{ \left(2\pi n_0 \sqrt{\xi} \right)^2 \left[\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{4} Q + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} Q^2 + k \right) + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{1}{3\sqrt{\xi}} Q^3 - \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{12} Q \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left. + \frac{2k}{\sqrt{\xi}} Q + \frac{\sum_j k_j^2}{\xi} - \sum_j (2j-1)k_j \right) \right] + (Q \leftrightarrow \bar{Q}, \{k_j\} \leftrightarrow \{\bar{k}_j\}) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$k = \sum_j k_j \quad , \quad \bar{k} = \sum_j \bar{k}_j$$

and the eigenvalues of W_0^0 and \bar{W}_0^0 , respectively, are

$$Q = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\Delta N}{2} + \frac{\Delta D}{\sqrt{\xi}} \quad , \quad \bar{Q} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\Delta N}{2} - \frac{\Delta D}{\sqrt{\xi}} . \quad (16)$$

Moreover, the integers k_j are ordered according to $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$, and are different from zero only if $j \ll \sqrt{N}$, i.e., within the range of validity of the EFT, and analogously for \bar{k}_j . Notice that Q and \bar{Q} have the structure of the zero mode charges of a non-chiral bosonic field (which is the sum of chiral and antichiral bosons) compactified on a circle of radius

$$r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} . \quad (17)$$

Indeed, this is the exact value of the compactification radius of the bosonic field describing the density fluctuations of the fermions in the CS model [13]. The partition function of this $c = 1$ CFT is known to be invariant under the duality symmetry $r \leftrightarrow 1/(2r)$, which in our language is equivalent to the mapping $\sqrt{\xi} \leftrightarrow 2/\sqrt{\xi}$. The action of this mapping on the charges (16) is to interchange ΔN with ΔD , such that Q remains unchanged and \bar{Q} maps onto minus itself. Some known identifications are: $\xi = 2$ is the self-dual point, $\xi = 1$ the free fermion point and $\xi = 1/2$ the Kosterlitz-Thouless point [5]. Notice that the zero modes are the only links between the L and R Fermi points within the framework of the EFT. From (15) we can also see that the exact value of the Fermi velocity is

$$v = 2\pi n_0 \xi \quad , \quad (18)$$

as a consequence of Luttinger's theorem, that demands that the product vr^2 remains constant for any value of ξ [20]. In more physical terms, the spectrum (16) corresponds to both charged and neutral low-lying excitations. The charged (with respect to the $U(1)$ symmetry of fermion number) ones are labeled by Q , which is interpreted as a *soliton number*, represented in CFT by local vertex operators [5]. The neutral excitations are given by the integers k_j and correspond to *particle-hole-like* excitations. This analysis stems from the fermionic picture and generalizes to the bosonic one [6]. The structure of the spectrum is familiar in CFT: the charged excitations are highest weight states and the neutral fluctuations correspond to the Verma modules on top of each one of them [5]. That is to say that the EFT describes a uniform density ground state that may have solitons (located lumps or valleys) and fluctuations around them as the complete set of low-lying excitations. Unusually for a CFT, we have higher order corrections in Q beyond Q^2 in the hamiltonian, because the universal finite-size corrections are of order $1/N$.

4. Dynamics of the density field operator

In $(1+1)$ systems with quantum dynamics, such as the CS model, fields and operators are naturally defined on the boundary circle ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$) where $x = R\theta$, i.e., on a compact space [18]. In the mathematical literature, however, they are conventionally considered in an unbounded space. There is a conformal mapping between these two spaces, which are the *cylinder* ($u = \tau - iR\theta$) and the *conformal plane* (z), after inclusion of the Euclidean time τ :

$$z = \exp\left(\frac{u}{R}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\tau}{R} - i\theta\right) . \quad (19)$$

where x is periodic with period L : $x = R\theta$ with $R = L/(2\pi)$. For example, the operators (8) define the $W_{1+\infty}$ currents $W^i(z)$ on the conformal plane as follows,

$$W^i(z) \equiv \sum_n W_n^i z^{-n-i-1} . \quad (20)$$

When studying the dynamics of a physical system like the CS model, the hamiltonian of edge excitations should be expressed in terms of the $W_{1+\infty}$ generators (W_R^i) $_n$ on the cylinder.

$$W^0(u) = \frac{dz}{du} W^0(z) ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^1(u) &= \left(\frac{dz}{du}\right)^2 W^1(z) + \frac{c}{12} S(z, u), \\ W^2(u) &= \left(\frac{dz}{du}\right)^3 W^2(z) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{dz}{du} S(z, u) W^0(z). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The $W_{1+\infty}$ currents on the cylinder are thus found by using the mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} W_R^0(u) &= \frac{z}{R} W^0(z), \\ W_R^1(u) &= \frac{z}{R^2} \left(z^2 W^1(z) - \frac{1}{24} \right), \\ W_R^2(u) &= \frac{z}{R^3} \left(z^3 W^2(z) - \frac{z}{12} W^0(z) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Using the definition

$$(W_R)_0^j \equiv \int_0^{2\pi i R} \frac{du}{(-2\pi i)} W_R^j(u), \quad (23)$$

we find the relation between the zero modes in the two geometries

$$(W_R)_0^0 = W_0^0, \quad (W_R)_0^1 = \frac{1}{R} \left(W_0^1 - \frac{c}{24} \right), \quad (W_R)_0^2 = \frac{1}{R^2} \left(W_0^2 - \frac{1}{12} W_0^0 \right). \quad (24)$$

We now consider the transformation of the effective hamiltonian (6) from the plane to the cylinder geometry. There is a natural unit of energy, with $\hbar = c = 1$ given by:

$$E_{CS} = \frac{2\pi^2 n_0^2 \xi}{m} = \frac{\pi n_0 \xi N}{mR}, \quad (25)$$

where we have momentarily restored the mass m of the CS particles for the purposes of comparison. The dimensionless Fermi velocity is

$$v = \frac{\pi n_0 \xi}{m} = v_0 \xi. \quad (26)$$

The effective hamiltonian on the (τ, θ) cylinder is, therefore, the following operator:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{CS} = \left\{ E_{CS} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{4} W_0^0 + \frac{1}{N} W_0^1 + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} W_0^2 - \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{12} W_0^0 \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - \frac{(\xi-1)}{\xi} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell W_{-\ell}^0 W_{\ell}^0 \right) \right] + (W \leftrightarrow \bar{W}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This is indeed defined on the cylinder because the hamiltonian does not involve terms with dimensional factors of powers of $1/R$. However, the Laurent modes are those defined by the commutator relations of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra (7) on the plane, as usual.

We are now in a suitable position to investigate the dynamics induced by the effective hamiltonian (27). We choose to study the time evolution of the chiral component the *density field*, as it the most obviously related to the semiclassical hydrodynamical approach to the CS model. Note, however, that the hamiltonian is not needed in order to determine the Hilbert space of the EFT, which is known since the beginning by the kinematical construction of CFTs. The antichiral sector of the theory could be considered as well along similar lines. The density field equation of motion is related to that of the operator W_R^0 :

$$\frac{\partial W_R^0(u)}{\partial t} = -i [W_R^0(u), H_{CS}] = -i \frac{z}{R} [W^0(z), H_{CS}] \quad (28)$$

We use the Sugawara construction with the normal ordering defined on the z plane:

$$W^1(z) = \frac{1}{2} : (W^0(z))^2 : , \quad (29)$$

Furthermore, we define the density field as

$$n(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi \xi^{3/2}} \left(W_R^0(x, t) + \pi n_0 \sqrt{\xi} \right), \quad (30)$$

where $W_R^0(x, t)$ is the fluctuation field over the uniform value $\langle \Omega | n(x, t) | \Omega \rangle = n_0 / \xi$, with $\langle \Omega | W_R^0(x, t) | \Omega \rangle = 0$. We find:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} &= \left(\frac{\pi \xi^2}{2m} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n^2) + \\ &- \frac{iz(\xi-1)n_0}{m\sqrt{\xi}NR^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left[\ell^2 z^{-\ell-1} W_{\ell}^0 - \ell^2 z^{\ell-1} W_{-\ell}^0 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Note that the free fermion part coincides exactly with the *quantum Hopf equation* [4].

Next we focus on the interaction term in (31), which may be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} [\ell^2 z^{-\ell-1} W_{\ell}^0 - \ell^2 z^{\ell-1} W_{-\ell}^0] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z W_{+}^0(z)) - z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z W_{-}^0(z)) \right], \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_{+}^0(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n^0 z^{-n-1} \\ W_{-}^0(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} W_n^0 z^{-n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

are the positive and negative Laurent mode fields. The equation of motion becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} &= \left(\frac{\pi \xi^2}{2m} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n^2) + \\ &- \frac{i(\xi-1)}{2\pi m \sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left((W_R^0)_+ - (W_R^0)_- \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

We would like to understand better the non familiar field that appears in the interaction term. It corresponds to an interaction that in terms of the density field is local in space but non-local in the time domain. Indeed, according to [4]:

$$(W_R^0)_H(x, t) = (W_+^0(u) - W_-^0(u)) \quad (35)$$

where $(W_R^0)_H(x, t)$ is the Hilbert transform of the field $W_R^0(x, t)$:

$$(W_R^0)_H(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{W_R^0(x, t')}{(t-t')} dt', \quad (36)$$

where PV denotes the Principal Value (there is a potential singularity at $t' = t$). We now show that (35) may be derived within the framework of CFT as well. We have

$$W_R^0(u) = \frac{z}{R} W^0(z) = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^{-n} W_n^0, \quad (37)$$

where $z = \exp[(\tau - ix)/R]$, $t = i\tau$ and $(\tau - ix) = R \ln z$. The integral in the z plane is along the radial direction ('time evolution' on the plane).

$$(W_R^0)_H(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} W_n^0 PV \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(z')^{-n-1}}{(\ln z - \ln z')} dz', \quad (38)$$

where we assume $\theta' = \theta$, that is, spatial locality, and setting $|z| = r$ and $|z'| = r'$, such that the potential singularity is now at $z' = z$, we have:

$$(W_R^0)_H(x, \tau) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} W_n^0 PV \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(r')^{-n-1}}{\ln r'/r} dr', \quad (39)$$

setting $s = r'/r$, the potential singularity is now at $s = 1$. Therefore, we arrive to the expansion

$$(W_R^0)_H(x, \tau) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n \exp(-n\tau/R) W_n^0, \quad (40)$$

with the coefficients

$$C_n = PV \int_0^{\infty} \frac{s^{-n-1}}{\ln s} ds. \quad (41)$$

These coefficients may be evaluated, taking care of subtracting the UV divergences properly, so that:

$$C_n = \begin{cases} -i\pi & (n > 0) \\ +i\pi & (n < 0) \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Therefore, the coefficients perform the projection on the positive and negative modes of the Laurent expansion of the field W^0 . Analogously, for the 0-mode we find $C_0 = -i\pi$, so that we can add it to the definition of C_n with $n > 0$. We have, therefore, shown that:

$$(W_R^0)_H = i \left[(W_R^0)_+ - (W_R^0)_- \right]. \quad (43)$$

Replacing this result in (34) we finally obtain:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\pi\xi^2}{2m} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n^2) + \frac{(\xi-1)\xi}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 n_H}{\partial x^2}, \quad (44)$$

where n_H is the Hilbert transform of the density, defined by (30) with W_R^0 replaced by $(W_R^0)_H$. This is the quantum Benjamin-Ono (BO) equation [4], written with two coupling constants. It is identical to the classical BO equation but it is satisfied by a quantum field rather than by a real function. The classical Benjamin-Ono equation is a nonlinear partial integro-differential equation that describes one-dimensional internal waves in deep water [22,23]. Each term has dimension 2 (in natural units), therefore the couplings $\alpha = \pi\xi^2/(2m)$ and $\beta = \xi(\xi-1)/(2m)$ have both dimension 1. Their quotient is, therefore, dimensionless: $\beta/\alpha = (\xi-1)/(\pi\xi)$. In [4], the corresponding quantum BO equation has terms with different dimensions to (44) and one coupling constant, which is given by $(\xi-1)/(2\sqrt{\xi})$ and that should be put in correspondence with $(\xi-1)/(\pi\xi)$. Note, however, that in [4], the Kac-Moody algebra has no ξ factor as in (8), so that one needs to introduce it for a correct comparison. The coupling α may be eliminated by a redefinition of the time coordinate. We therefore find a complete agreement between the dynamics of the density field predicted by quantum hydrodynamics and that of quantum field theory. A related study on the classical hydrodynamics of the CS model and the (classical) Benjamin-Ono equation [24] is available in [25].

Finally, we discuss a physical interpretation of (35): the Hilbert transform associates to a quantum field decomposed in Laurent modes another one. This mapping is non-local in time, and involves both the distant past and future with respect to the field at present time. The field is a function of the coordinate z . In radial quantization, a growing $|z|$ amounts to time evolution, from the distant past ($|z| \rightarrow 0$) to the distant future ($|z| \rightarrow \infty$). Laurent expansion can be viewed as a partial wave decomposition. In this setting, the Hilbert transform may be viewed as transformation that takes an instantaneous function (potential) to a retarded or advanced one, as in the picture of electromagnetic charge wave emission. This idea was developed by Wheeler and Feynman [26] and it means that the terms with negative sign in (35) are interpreted as circular waves moving backwards in time from the distant future, ‘the advanced waves’. Note that this interpretation is also consistent with the classical interpretation of the surface waves described by the BO equation, involving deep water and therefore long time reflected waves in the bottom of the sea.

We have explicitly shown how the Hilbert transform acting on the density field performs the separation of positive and negative Laurent modes, reversing the sign of half of them. Notice that the decomposition (35) arises as an effect on the density field by the CS interaction term in the hamiltonian (27).

5. Conclusions

The EFT that describes the thermodynamic limit of the CS model may be cast as a $(c, \bar{c}) = (1, 1)$ CFTs with extended symmetry $W_{1+\infty} \times \overline{W}_{1+\infty}$ and chiral and antichiral sectors that are isomorphic. The Hilbert space and partition function for these theories are well known [5,21]. Nonetheless, what singles out this theory is the specific dynamics and time evolution induced by its effective hamiltonian. In particular, the $1/r^2$ interaction in the CS model implies the finiteness of the expansion of the effective hamiltonian in terms of the $W_{1+\infty}$ generators (6) (see also [27]).

The Benjamin-Ono equation obeyed by the density field is not the only match between the descriptions based on quantum hydrodynamics and effective field theories, since both the precise form of the hamiltonian (6) and the bosonic operator content (10) agree as well. This equivalence may help to gain further insights into both formulations. Alternatively, the knowledge of the time evolution of the density field rather than that of its vacuum expectation value clearly conveys further dynamical information. As a case in point, the time evolution of correlation functions involving the density may be greatly simplified by the use of its equation of motion. This constitutes a powerful tool to analyze the analytic properties of the dynamical structure factor of the EFT of the CS model, which is a response function to externally induced density fluctuations and is a relevant probe for analyzing the internal structure in condensed matter systems [28]. On a more technical level, the role of projected density operators such as (33) may be a useful resource elsewhere in CFTs studies.

Besides the lowest energy excitations, the algebraic structure of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra may also be used to incorporate higher energy processes beyond its original domain of applicability. For instance, in the context of the quantum Hall effect, this idea has proven to be successful in extending some of the edge states properties into the bulk [29]. For the EFT of the CS model, this program should pursue a deeper understanding of the role of the Bogoliubov transformation and the high energy fermionic processes such as the backward scattering ones. In particular, the role of the chiral-antichiral interaction studied in [19] and its relation to the bosonic field duality of the compactification radius discussed after (17).

We conclude by remarking that the consistent physical picture arising from the continuous limit of the CS model is that of a 1D quantum compressible fluid, with non-linear waves of the Benjamin-Ono type, that involves the two chiralities. This view stresses similarities and differences with the quantum incompressible fluids that appear in the quantum Hall effect. We believe that this qualitative picture may be useful in future developments.

Declaration of competing interest

We have no conflict of interest.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.* 10 (1969) 2191, *J. Math. Phys.* 10 (1969) 2197, *J. Math. Phys.* 12 (1971) 419.
- [2] B. Sutherland, *J. Math. Phys.* 12 (1971) 246, *J. Math. Phys.* 12 (1971) 251, *Phys. Rev. A* 4 (1971) 2019, *Phys. Rev. A* 5 (1972) 1372.
- [3] For reviews see, e.g. A.P. Polychronakos, *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.* 39 (2006) 12793;
V. Pasquier, A lecture on the Calogero-Sutherland models, in: A. Alekseev, A. Hietamäki, K. Huitu, A. Morozov, A. Niemi (Eds.), *Integrable Models and Strings*, in: *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 436, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994.
- [4] A.G. Abanov, P.B. Wiegmann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95 (2005) 076402.
- [5] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 241 (1984) 333;
For a textbook see P. di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [6] R. Caracciolo, A. Lerda, G.R. Zemba, *Phys. Lett. B* 352 (1995) 304.
- [7] R. Caracciolo, M. Frau, S. Sciuto, A. Lerda, G.R. Zemba, in: *Proceedings of the IV Meeting "Common Trends in Condensed Matter and High Energy Physics"*, Chia Laguna, Cagliari, Italy, Sep. 1995, pp. 3–10, arXiv:hep-th/9604009.
- [8] M. Frau, A. Lerda, S. Sciuto, G.R. Zemba, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 12 (1997) 4611–4661.
- [9] D.V. Khveshchenko, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 9 (1995) 1639, *Phys. Rev. B* 49 (1994) 16893.
- [10] H. Awata, Y. Matsuo, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi, *Phys. Rev. B* 347 (1994) 49.
- [11] R. Jackiw, V.P. Nair, S.Y. Pi, A.P. Polychronakos, *J. Phys. A* 37 (2004) R327.
- [12] For similar formulations of bosonization see, e.g. A.H. Castro Neto, E. Fradkin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72 (1994) 1393, *Phys. Rev. B* 49 (1994) 10877;
A. Houghton, B. Marston, *Phys. Rev. B* 48 (1993) 7790.
- [13] N. Kawakami, S.-K. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67 (1991) 2493, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 107 (1992) 59.
- [14] For a review see, e.g. J. Voit, *One-dimensional Fermi liquids*, arXiv:cond-mat/9510014;
For a textbook see E. Fradkin, *Field Theories of Condensed Matter Physics*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [15] See, e.g. J. Polchinski, *Effective field theory and the Fermi surface*, Lectures presented at TASI-92, Boulder (USA), arXiv:hep-th/9210046, June 1992.
- [16] I. Bakas, *Phys. Lett. B* 228 (1989) 57;
C.N. Pope, X. Shen, L.J. Romans, *Nucl. Phys. B* 339 (1990);
For a review see X. Shen, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 7A (1992) 6953.
- [17] V. Kac, A. Radul, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 157 (1993) 429;
E. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Radul, W. Wang, preprint, arXiv:hep-th/9405121;
See also H. Awata, M. Fukuma, S. Odake, Y.-H. Quano, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 31 (1994) 289;
H. Awata, M. Fukuma, Y. Matsuo, S. Odake, *Phys. Lett. B* 332 (1994) 336.
- [18] For the specific application to this work see, e.g. A. Cappelli, C.A. Trugenberger, G.R. Zemba, *Ann. Phys.* 246 (1996) 86–120.
- [19] F.L. Bottesi, G.R. Zemba, *Phys. Lett. A* 530 (2025) 130128.
- [20] F.D.M. Haldane, *Luttinger's Theorem and bosonization of the Fermi surface*, Lectures given at the International School of Physics Enrico Fermi, Varenna, Italy (July 1992), and *J. Phys. C* 14 (1981) 2585;
For the chiral case, X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* 40 (1989) 7387.
- [21] A. Cappelli, G.V. Dunne, C.A. Trugenberger, G.R. Zemba, *Nucl. Phys.* 398B (1993) 531.
- [22] T.B. Benjamin, *J. Fluid Mech.* 29 (1967) 559.
- [23] H. Ono, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 39 (1975) 1082.
- [24] For a review see, e.g. K.M. Case, *Physica D* 3 (1981) 185.
- [25] M. Stone, I. Anduaga, L. Xing, *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.* 41 (2008) 275401.
- [26] J.A. Wheeler, R.P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 17 (1945) 157, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 21 (1949) 425.
- [27] L.D. Landau, L.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory*, 3rd edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, 1981, Chap. 5.
- [28] F.L. Bottesi, G.R. Zemba, *Dynamic structure factor of Calogero-Sutherland fluids*, preprint, arXiv:2501.03762.
- [29] A. Cappelli, L. Maffi, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2021) 120.